ISAHP Article: A Style Guide for Paper Proposals To Be Submitted to the International Symposium of the Analytic Hierarchy Process 2016, London, U.K.

ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS BEST APPROACH IN SEQUENCING OF ORDINARY DISTILLATION COLUMNS

*Note: Do not include the author(s) names and information as this document will be blind reviewed and they will be entered during proposal submission.

ABSTRACT

Analytic hierarchy process (AHP) ratings mode were applied combined with heuristics rules for choosing the best sequence of ordinary distillation columns in a five component mixture. Categories for each heuristic according to its compliance in each sequence, were defined and pairwise compared. At the same time, the heuristics rules were equally weighted to cancel conflict among them. The AHP ratings mode approach proved to be effective in selecting the best alternative, compared against the results obtained with more complex and rigorous methods.

Keywords: AHP ratings mode, distillation column sequences.

1. Introduction

In chemical process design, the need to separate multicomponent mixtures into essentially pure products arise, and sequences of ordinary distillation columns are preferred to meet this need. However, the number of different sequences that can do the separation task grows in a number-of-component factorial way. Even when there are heuristics rules known, several studies had shown that they usually are in conflict with each other, particularly when try to define which of them is more important (Seider, 1999). This study solves the conflict of heuristics rules using AHP (Saaty, 2008).

2. Literature Review

The sequencing ordinary distillation columns problem could be found in several chemical process design textbooks (Seider, 1999), and had been linked to rather complex AHP applications (AHP + genetic algorithms or hybrid models) in Li *et. al.* (2009) and Cziner *et. al.* (2006). So, the simple approach used in this work has not been implemented yet.

3. Hypotheses/Objectives

This study proves that the combination of AHP ratings mode with heuristics rules can be a suitable and not contradictory approach in the ordinary distillation columns sequencing.

4. Research Design/Methodology

Even when there are several more comprehensive methods for ordinary distillation sequencing, the most common method used involves heuristics rules. The most common heuristics rules are the following four: 1) Do the most difficult separation last; 2) Remove pure products one by one as distillates (direct sequences); 3) Remove first component

ISAHP Article: A Style Guide for Paper Proposals To Be Submitted to the International Symposium on the Analytic Hierarchy Process 2016, London, U.K.

with largest percentage of the feed; 4) Favor near equimolar amounts of distillates and bottoms in each column, (Seider, 1999). So, the goal of the model is to select the distillation sequence that best fit to these four heuristics rules, which in turn become the criteria, and finally, the alternatives in the model correspond to the different distillation column sequences. Example 5.2 (Seider, 1999) was used as case study, which involves the separation of a five component mixture with fourteen different sequences to choice. Even when applying the heuristics rules to the different sequences results in some numerical data, it was preferred to use ratings mode of AHP with personal interpretation of what those numbers mean. On the other hand, it was assigned the same weight to the four heuristics, to cancel the alleged conflict between them.

5. Data/Model Analysis

😑 😑 Super Decisions Main Window: DISTIL-rate.sdmod: ratings	Ratings for Super Decisions Main Window: DISTIL-rate.sdmod: ratings						
🕼 🖬 🗐 🔥 🛧 🐴 📥 Sym 🕂 🖗	Super Decisions Ratings						
I I I Goal - 🗆 🗙		Priorities	Totals	1H1:Easy-first 0.250000	2H2:Direct-sequence 0.250000	3H3:Remove-abunda 0.250000	4H4:Fifty-fifty 0.250000
		0.092609	0.450859	Good	Excellent	Average	Under average
1Goal	S2	0.093128	0.453385	Average	Very good	Good	Average
	S3	0.121159	0.589852	Excellent	Very good	Very poor	Good
	S4	0.065369	0.318241	Under average	Good	Good	Under average
	S5	0.051399	0.250230	Under average	Under average	Very good	Poor
	S6	0.081671	0.397607	Good	Very good	Poor	Average
	S7	0.050231	0.244546	Average	Average	Under average	Good
	S8	0.101708	0.495155	Excellent	Good	Very poor	Good
	S9	0.096962	0.472053	Very good	Under average	Poor	Excellent
↓¥	S10	0.061920	0.301451	Very poor	Good	Good	Under average
2Criteria	S11	0.046325	0.225530	Poor	Poor	Very good	Poor
	S12	0.028580	0.139139	Very poor	Poor	Under average	Average
	S13	0.044658	0.217413	Poor	Poor	Very good	Very poor
1H1:Easy-first 2H2:Direct-sequences 3H3:Remove-abundant 4H4:Fifty-fifty	S14	0.064281	0.312946	Very poor	Very poor	Excellent	Poor

The left figure shows the AHP ratings model used in Super Decisions® software. The right figure shows the ratings matrix of the heuristics rules. CI for the rules ratings were: H1=0.0930, H2=0.0993, H3=0.0314, and H4=0.0324. The preferred sequence is S3, which coincides with the chosen as optimal with more rigorous methods (Seider, 1999).

6. Limitations

The visualization of all different sequences, which facilitates the application of the AHP ratings mode, becomes cumbersome as the number of pure products grows.

7. Conclusions

The results allow to illustrate that, even though in some cases the heuristics rules seem contradictory, if they are used combined with AHP ratings mode, can be a suitable initial guidance on the problems of ordinary distillation sequencing, so it is advisable to teach the heuristics rules method complemented with the application of the AHP ratings mode.

8. Key References

Cziner, K., Hassim, M., & Hurme, M. (2006). Multicriteria Design of Separation Sequences by Including HSE Criteria and Uncertainty. *16th ESCAPE*.

Li, C., Wozny, G., & Suzuki, K. (2009). Design and synthesis of separation process based on a hybrid method. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Chemical Engineering*, *4*, 905-915.

Saaty, T.L. (2008). Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process. *Int. J. Services Sciences*, *1*, 83–98.

Seider, W.D., Seader, J.D., & Lewin, D.R. (1999). *Process Design Principles*. New York, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

International Symposium on the	
Analytic Hierarchy Process	